



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,599	10/06/2000	Richard R. Wessman	OR00-03802	1833

51067 7590 01/09/2006

ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION  
c/o A. RICHARD PARK  
2820 FIFTH STREET  
DAVIS, CA 95616-2914

EXAMINER

BETIT, JACOB F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2164

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/680,599

Applicant(s)

WESSMAN, RICHARD R.

Examiner

Jacob F. Betit

Art Unit

2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/17/05.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-51 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



**SAM RIMELL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application on 17 October 2005 after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 September 2005 has been entered.

### ***Remarks***

2. In response to communications filed on 21 September 2005 and 17 October 2005, claims 25, 34, and 43 are amended per applicant's request. Claims 25-51 are presently pending in the application.

### ***Claim Objections***

3. Claims 25-51 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 25 recites the limitation "encrypting data" in line 14, claim 34 recites the limitation "encrypting data", in line 16 and claim 43 recites the limitation "encrypt data", in line 13. When reading these claims it is difficult to tell if this is the same data claimed earlier in the claim or if this is a new data being operated on differently. So that the claims are more easily interpreted, it is recommended that the claims be amended to recite "the data" instead of "data".

Claims 26-33 are objected to for being dependent on objected to independent claim 25, claims 35-42 are objected to for being dependent on objected to independent claim 34, and claims 44-51 are objected to for being dependent on objected to independent claim 43.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 25-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 25 in line 20, claim 34, in line 22, and claim 43 in lines 18-19 recite the limitation "the cryptographic function". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim 26-33 are rejected for depending on rejected independent claim 25, claims 35-42 are rejected for being dependent on rejected independent claim 34, and claims 44-51 are rejected for being dependent on rejected independent claim 43.

7. Claim 27 in line 2, claim 28 in line 3, claim 31 in line 2, claim 32 in line 2, claim 33 in line 3, claim 36 in line 4, claim 37 in line 3, claim 40 in line 2, claim 41 in line 3, claim 42 in line 3, claim 45 in line 3, claim 46 in line 3, claim 49 in line 2, and claim 50 in lines 2-3 recite the limitation "the column". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

8. Claim 30 in line 10, claim 39 in line 10, and claim 48 in line 12 recite the limitation "the volatile memory". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Art Unit: 2164

*Allowable Subject Matter*

9. Claims 25, 34, and 43 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

10. Claims 26-33, 35-42, and 44-51 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

*Response to Arguments*

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

*Conclusion*

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob F. Betit whose telephone number is (571) 272-4075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

jfb  
5 Jan 2006

  
**SAM RIMELL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**